Approved For Release 2065/01/29 Remissor 9-00632A000100030008 9D/S 72 - 45

OGC 72-1829

11 December 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR:	SA/D/DCI/IC	STATINTL
SUBJECT : Draft DCIDs		
		STATINTL
As requested at the last meetin as Acting General Counsel, has consid by the designees, together with the proranda and the alternative proposals for DCIDs. We have no additional commenwe understand the numbering and index at another meeting of the designees. Very tions concerning the memoranda and results and the second se	ered the draft DCIDs pre oposed Tweedy and Colby numbering and indexing ats on the individual DCII sing problem will be cons We have the following obs	memo- the Os and idered
Tweedy and Colby memoranda without of a memorandum to Mr. Tweedy transness and explaining to him the propose	smitting the work of the ced memoranda. Would it memorandum which wou	stance desig- not be

STATINTL

- b. It might be in order to suggest a Tweedy-to-Director memorandum concerning the DCIDs. Presumably there should be further approval of the DCIDs, by Mr. Tweedy or the Director or both, before negotiations begin.
- c. We believe the thrust of the Tweedy memorandum is inappropriate in several respects. First, the negotiation outside CIA of those DCIDs which have been redrafted does not require an advance decision as to the few which have not been redrafted. In beginning the negotiating of the prepared drafts, we would inform all concerned that we do not yet have proposals for a few of the current DCIDs. Secondly, it seems inappropriate to accomplish Agency approval of the DCIDs by procedures which limit the Deputies' participation to that of reviewing and approving only via instructions to their

Approved For Release 2003/02/27: CIA-RDP79-00632A000100030008-9

subordinates, the designees. Rather, they should be permitted to consider the DCIDs in the context of meetings or discussions with their peers and in reporting their views to the Executive Director and the Director rather than to a committee composed of their subordinates. Thirdly, we question the propriety of recommending to the Executive Director how he will undertake to have a compartmentation manual prepared, assuming he decides to do so. Such a proposal seems outside the charter of a committee whose function it is to revise DCIDs. And in any event, in view of the work done by the committee in 1971 and early 1972, which produced a report on compartmentation systems, the proposal seems a costly and time-consuming exercise. And finally, the proposal in paragraph 4 of the Colby memorandum that the task group would complete STATINTL its work by I June would seem to be at best a guess. Associate General Counsel

STATINTL

STATINTL

cc: Mr. Herbert J. Jenne, DDI